Appendix B

The table includes examples of what the audit committee might do to have impact in each of these areas.

The third area includes key indicators that might be expected to be in place if arrangements are in fact effective. These indicators are not directly within the control of the audit committee, as it is an advisory body. They do provide an indication that the authority has put in place adequate and effective arrangements, which is the purpose of the committee.

Use the tool for discussion and evaluation of the strengths and weakness of the committee, identifying areas for improvement.

Areas where the audit committee can have impact by supporting improvement	Examples of how the audit committee can demonstrate its impact	Key indicators of effective arrangements	Your evaluation: strengths, weaknesses, and proposed actions
Promoting the principles of good governance and their application to decision making.	 Supporting the development of a local code of governance. Providing a robust review of the AGS and the assurances underpinning it. Supporting reviews/audits of governance arrangements. Participating in self-assessments of governance arrangements. Working with partner audit committees to review governance arrangements in partnerships. 	 Elected members, the leadership team and senior managers all share a good understanding of governance, including the key principles and local arrangements. Local arrangements for governance have been clearly set out in an up-to-date local code. The authority's scrutiny arrangements are forward looking and constructive. Appropriate governance arrangements established for all collaborations and arm's-length arrangements. The head of internal audit's annual opinion on governance is satisfactory (or similar wording). 	 Considered that the level of involvement and engagement with the wider community, could be increased. To review the oversight by Cabinet on the output of the Committee and how it has impacted on the work of the Council.

Contributing to the development of an effective control environment.	 Encouraging ownership of the internal control framework by appropriate managers. Actively monitoring the implementation of recommendations from auditors. Raising significant concerns over controls with appropriate senior managers. 	 The head of internal audit's annual opinion over internal control is that arrangements are satisfactory. Assessments against control frameworks such as CIPFA's FM Code have been completed and a high level of compliance identified. Control frameworks are in place and operating effectively for key control areas – for example, information security or procurement. 	Considered that the Internal Audit function is effective, supported by the recent external quality assessment that concluded that the service met the PSIAS standards in full. Representations from A&S Committee to, for example, Cabinet members. Manager attendance at meetings
Supporting the establishment of arrangements for the governance of risk and for effective arrangements to manage risks.	 Reviewing risk management arrangements and their effectiveness, eg risk management maturity or benchmarking. Monitoring improvements to risk management. Reviewing accountability of risk owners for major/strategic risks. 	A robust process for managing risk is evidenced by independent assurance from internal audit or external review.	Good – done through the Audit risk assessment methodology. Improvements could be made to the risk management briefings provided to the Committee.
Advising on the adequacy of the assurance framework and considering whether assurance is deployed efficiently and effectively.	 Reviewing the adequacy of the leadership team's assurance framework. Specifying the committee's assurance needs, identifying gaps or overlaps in assurance. Seeking to streamline assurance gathering and reporting. Reviewing the effectiveness of assurance providers, eg internal audit, risk management, external audit. 	The authority's leadership team have defined an appropriate framework of assurance, including core arrangements, major service areas and collaborations and external bodies.	Considered to be satisfactory and suitable measures in place. All major issues affecting Council are picked up within the annual auditrisk assessment and subsequent annual audit plan. There is an overlap with Scrutiny functions and members consider this to be a positive

Appendix B

Supporting effective external audit, with a focus on high quality and timely audit work.	 Reviewing and supporting external audit arrangements with focus on independence and quality. Providing good engagement on external audit plans and reports. Supporting the implementation of audit recommendations. 	 The quality of liaison between external audit and the authority is satisfactory. The auditors deliver in accordance with their audit plan, and any amendments are well explained. An audit of high quality is delivered. 	A considerable number of meetings are held between the Director of Finance/Chief Accountant and the External auditors. There well known and documented difficulties sector wide regarding the closure of outstanding Financial Accounts. These are regularly discussed as part of each meeting agenda. The Council will change External Auditor with effect from 2023/24 financial year from EY to KPMG. The quality of the service is monitored through PSAA/FRC. The latest available quality report from FRC has been presented to the Committee in July 23.
Supporting the quality of the internal audit activity, in particular underpinning its organisational independence.	 Reviewing the audit charter and functional reporting arrangements. Assessing the effectiveness of internal audit arrangements, providing constructive challenge and supporting improvements. Actively supporting the quality assurance and improvement programme of internal audit. 	 Internal audit that is in conformance with PSIAS and LGAN (as evidenced by the most recent external assessment and an annual self-assessment). The head of internal audit and the organisation operate in accordance with the principles of the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit (2019). 	An external quality assessment was undertaken in January 2023 and reported to the Committee. It was concluded that the IA team demonstrate high standards and have a good standing in the Council.
Aiding the achievement of the authority's goals and objectives by helping to ensure appropriate governance, risk, control and assurance arrangements.	 Reviewing how the governance arrangements support the achievement of sustainable outcomes. Reviewing major projects and programmes to ensure that governance and assurance arrangements are in place. Reviewing the effectiveness of performance management arrangements. 	 Inspection reports indicate that arrangements are appropriate to support the achievement of service objectives. The authority's arrangements to review and assess performance are satisfactory. 	Greater detail on how the work of the Committee contributes to the strategic plan. The risks surrounding major projects are considered by the Committee via reports produced by Internal Audit as part of the annual plan.

Promoting effective public reporting to the authority's stakeholders and local community and measures to improve transparency and accountability.	 strategy to address those risks. Assessing the effectiveness of ethical governance arrangements for both staff and governors. Working with key members/the PCC and chief constable to improve their understanding of the AGS and their contribution to it. Improving how the authority discharges its responsibilities for public reporting – for example, better targeting the audience and use of plain English. Reviewing whether decision making through partnership organisations 	•	The authority meets the statutory deadlines for financial reporting with accounts for audit of an appropriate quality. The external auditor completed the audit of the financial statements with minimal adjustments and an unqualified opinion. The authority has published its financial statements and AGS in accordance with statutory guidelines.	All Audit & Standards Committee meeting agendas are published on the Councils website, the meetings are webcast, and the recordings are available to review on demand. An annual report regarding the work of the Committee is produced and presented to full council. The Statement of Accounts, the Annual Governance Statement and the External
ensuring value for money. Helping the authority to implement the values of good governance, including effective arrangements for countering fraud and	 Considering how performance in value for money is evaluated as part of the AGS. Following up issues raised by external audit in their value-for-money work. Reviewing arrangements against the standards set out in the Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption (CIPFA, 2014). Reviewing fraud risks and the effectiveness of the organisation's 	•	Good ethical standards are maintained by both elected representatives and officers. This is evidenced by robust assurance over culture, ethics and counter fraud arrangements.	A detailed Counter fraud plan is produced and presented to the Committee. An Outturn report is generated which conforms with CIPFA standards.
Supporting the development of robust arrangements for ensuring value for	Ensuring that assurance on value-for- money arrangements is included in the assurances received by the audit	•	External audit's assessments of arrangements to support best value are satisfactory.	The annual value for money opinion report from the external auditors to those charged with governance is

Appendix B